

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Office Action mailed February 28, 2007 has been carefully considered.

Reconsideration in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Claims 27 – 39 have been canceled. New claims 40 – 52 have been added. Support for the amendments is found in the specification, drawings, and claims as originally filed.

Applicants respectfully submit, therefore that the amendments do not add new matter.

The 35 U.S.C. § 101 Rejection

Claims 1 – 39 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101, second paragraph, as allegedly being directed to non-statutory subject matter.

In response applicants have amended the specification to clarify that the claimed invention is not constituted as a signal and produces a useful and tangible result.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully asserted that the claims are in condition for allowance.

Conclusion

If, in the opinion of the Examiner, an interview would expedite the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at the number indicated below.


Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Please charge any additional required fee or credit any overpayment not otherwise paid or credited to our deposit account No. 50-1698.

Respectfully submitted,

THELEN REID BROWN
RAYSMAN & STEINER LLP

Dated: May 29, 2007


Thomas Van Zandt
Reg. No. 43,219

THELEN REID BROWN RAYSMAN & STEINER LLP
P.O. Box 640640
San Jose, CA 95164-0640
Tel. (408) 292-5800
Fax. (408) 287-8040